Parish:	Emneth	
Proposal:	Proposed Change of Us Generis).	e from Hotel (C1) to large HMO (Sui
Location:	Elme Hall Hotel 69 Elm High Road Emneth PE14 0DQ	
Applicant:	Mr D Conetta	
Case No:	22/01014/F (Full Application)	
Case Officer:	Mrs C Dorgan	Date for Determination: 8 August 2022

**Reason for Referral to Planning Committee** – Officer recommendation is contrary to Parish Council objection.

Neighbourhood Plan: No

## **Case Summary**

The application seeks full planning consent for the change of use of the application site from a hotel to a House of Multiple Occupation (HMO) consisting of 26 rooms (with a maximum occupancy of 39 people) with 4 communal rooms including a lounge and a kitchen/diner on each floor.

The application site is 0.35ha and is part of a larger hotel site (approximately 1.2ha in total), the remainder of which will be retained as a hotel. The external form of the building will remain unchanged, although there will be changes to the site to accommodate the parking and area of amenity space.

The application site is located to the northeast of the A47, with access via the existing entrance off Elm High Road. The site is 1.3 miles to Wisbech town centre and abuts the built extent of the town. However, it is located within the parish of Emneth and the site is within the development boundary for the village of Emneth as detailed on Inset Map G34 of the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (SADMPP) (2016).

This application is the same as previously submitted and refused at Planning Committee in May 2022 (ref: 21/01569/F). However, the applicant has sought to address the reasons for refusal as part of this application namely the potential detrimental impact on tourism as a result of the loss of the hotel facilities.

# **Key Issues**

Principle of Development
Impact on Tourism
Highways / Access
Form and Character
Neighbour Amenity
Residential Amenity and Site Management
Loss of Employment Use
Other Material Considerations

#### Recommendation

#### **APPROVE**

#### THE APPLICATION

The application seeks full planning consent for the change of use of the application site from a hotel to a House of Multiple Occupation (HMO) consisting of 26 rooms (with a maximum occupancy of 39 people) with 4 communal rooms consisting of a lounge and a kitchen/diner on each floor.

The application site is approximately 0.35ha and is part of a larger hotel site (approximately 1.2ha in total), the remainder of which will be retained as a hotel. The external form of the building will remain unchanged, although there will be changes to the site to accommodate the parking and area of amenity space.

The application site is located to the northeast of the A47, with access via the existing entrance off Elm High Road. The site is 1.3 miles to Wisbech town centre and abuts the built extent of the town. However, it is located within the parish of Emneth and the site is within the development boundary for the village of Emneth as detailed on Inset Map G34 of the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (SADMPP) (2016).

The application site currently offers 34 hotel bedrooms, with the neighbouring hotel building offering 8 bedrooms currently. The proposal is to make necessary changes to the internal layout of the building to provide for 26 HMO bedrooms; 13 of which would be double occupancy and 13 single occupancy. The adjacent hotel building would be retained in this use and continue to offer hotel accommodation.

This application is the same as previously submitted and refused at Planning Committee in May 2022 (ref: 21/01569/F) for the following reason-

The proposed development would result in the loss of existing tourist accommodation, and it has failed to adequately demonstrate the proposal would not have an adverse impact on the tourism industry in the locality, contrary to the relevant provisions of the NPPF and policy CS10 of the Core Strategy.

However, the applicant has sought to address the reasons for refusal as part of this application namely the potential detrimental impact on tourism as a result of the loss of the hotel facilities.

# **SUPPORTING CASE**

This application is a resubmission of a recent application which was unfortunately refused by planning committee earlier this year after receiving support and recommendation of approval from BCKLWN planning dept. The application was refused in May 2022 upon the grounds of; The proposal would result in the loss of tourism accommodation in the locality. The application has failed to adequately demonstrate that the proposal would not have an adverse impact on the tourism industry in the locality and therefore is contrary to the NPPF and Policy CS10 of the Core Strategy (2011).

As stated within our submitted supporting document, we feel it is very difficult to quantify the 'adverse effect on tourism' by carrying out the change of use upon part of an existing hotel. The tourism trade in this area of West Norfolk has been declining and this has already had a

telling impact upon the hotel rather than the other way around. It has been marketed for sale and there has been no interest as a hotel because there is not enough trade to satisfy its need. The BCKLWN planning committee approved a CoU within approx. 10 miles of the application site with no mention of the loss of tourism and there has also been numerous approvals for the Premier Inn at West Lynn to continue to grow without consideration for competing hotels within the area. Should this application be approved, there will remain a large diverse number and types of accommodation open to the tourism trade in and around Wisbech which is in line with BCKLWN CS10.

Following vast market research, our client identified this site as the best viable location for a HMO to house people from Wisbech and its surrounding areas. Property prices and availability of this type of accommodation is currently fuelling a gap in the market. 'Appendix 1' of the submitted planning statement details the extent of the recent increase in tenant demand across the country. With the current economic climate, this demand and need for this type of housing is only set to increase further.

The main building, Elme Hall, will remain as a hotel. However, as a whole, the site is no longer viable, primarily due to the decrease in the tourism sector together with the extensive expansion of the Premier Inn some 12 miles along the A47, having claimed the 'contractor' business. It is hoped that by removing the 34 room motel style rooms, the use of the hotel is enhanced. It is seen that, by taking away the motel style rooms, only a higher specification of room will be available for any visiting trade. It is envisaged that the occupancy will be split upon a 50/50 basis – thus meaning that 50% of the rooms will be single occupancy and the other 50% double occupancy. This will mean a total of 39 people when at 100% capacity. There are also a number of rooms that will be set aside as mobility friendly.

The management of the HMO will be carried out by a local ARLA (association of residential letting agents) licensed firm based within Wisbech. They are currently the leading independent agency based upon stock, Lets Agreed and void time frames, and pride themselves on bespoke and tailormade services. They have over 60 years' experience within the team and currently manage 5 HMO units within the area. Each tenancy will be a min of 6 months and relevant credit and identity checks will be carried out by the firm prior to occupancy as per the submitted referencing documentation.

Our client is fully committed to introducing a vast improvement to the whole site. This is evident in the 280m² amenity space that will be provided for the residents together with an overall clearing of the site. A third-party landscaping firm will be employed to maintain grounds once works are complete and the management company will be responsible for carrying out regular inspections of these areas.

As well as the external areas, the management company will carry out weekly inspections of the internal areas. It is also proposed to furnish all communal areas with CCTV. This will reduce any anti-social behaviour that may occur. A third-party cleaning company will also be employed to carry out regular cleaning of these communal areas.

### **PLANNING HISTORY**

21/01569/F: Application Refused - Committee decision: 16/05/22 - Proposed Change of Use from a Hotel to a Large HMO (Sui Generis) - Elme Hall Hotel

2/98/1336/CU: Application Permitted - Committee decision: 15/12/98 - Change of use from office to 8 bed hotel - De-Regle Hall Elm High Road

2/00/0490/F: Application Permitted - Delegated decision: 17/08/00 - Erection of marquee from 1st May to 31st August inclusive each year to be used for functions (revised proposal) - Elme Hall Hotel

2/01/0549/A: Application Refused - Delegated decision: 05/06/01 - Erection of flag pole and non-illuminated banner - Elme Hall Hotel

2/03/2501/F: Application Permitted - Delegated decision: 30/11/04 - Construction of two storey block of motel rooms - 69 Elm High Road

2/03/2501/NMA\_2: Application Permitted - Delegated decision: 11/10/10 - NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT TO PLANNING CONSENT 2/03/2501/F: Construction of two storey block of motel rooms - Elme Hall Hotel

2/00/0079/A: Application Permitted - Delegated decision: 25/02/00 - Erection of flag pole and flag banner - Elme Hall Hotel

2/03/2501/NMA\_1: Application Permitted - Delegated decision: 06/08/10 - NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT FOR PLANNING CONSENT 2/03/2501/F: Construction of two storey block of motel rooms - Elme Hall Hotel

2/01/0989/F: Application Permitted - Delegated decision: 12/09/01 - Construction of ballroom - Elme Hall Hotel

### **RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION**

#### Parish Council: OBJECTION

Emneth Parish Council objects to this application based on loss of tourism, unacceptable use and highways issues / highway safety / vehicular access and egress / traffic generation at an already extremely busy roundabout which is one of the main access / exit hubs to the town centre of Wisbech.

# Highways Authority: NO OBJECTION subject to condition

Similar to a previous application for the site under planning reference 21/01569/F , no objection to the principle of the application and recommend a condition re the proposed access / on-site car and cycle parking / servicing areas shall be provided, levelled, surfaced and drained in accordance with the approved plan and retained thereafter.

### **Environmental Quality: NO OBJECTION**

No objection in terms of air quality compliance. Notwithstanding this, all developments should follow best practice principles to minimise emissions and in terms of mitigation it is proposed to provide secure cycle storage.

### **Emergency Planning Officer: NO OBJECTION**

Reference the above application. Because of its location in an area at risk of flooding I would suggest that those running the site:

• Should sign up to the Environment Agency flood warning system (0345 988 1188 or www.gov.uk/flood) and encourage those occupying site to do so as well.

- A flood evacuation plan should be prepared and displayed on site (more details at www.gov.uk/flood):
  - This will include actions to take on receipt of the different warning levels.
  - Evacuation procedures e.g. warning residents, isolating services and taking valuables etc.
  - Evacuation routes

## Norfolk Constabulary: NO OBJECTION

There is no objection from Norfolk Police, but do ask that security issues on the following subject areas should be considered - main/ access/ bedroom doors and locking systems, glazing and window types and locking systems, internal and external lighting arrangements, external cycle storage, security measures such as CCTV, and bin - waste storage.

# Norfolk Lead Local Flood Authority: NO COMMENTS

The County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority has no comments to make.

Wisbech Town Council: SUPPORT

# **Fenland District Council: NO OBJECTION**

Having considered our earlier consultation response, the officer report to KLWNBC Planning Committee and the minutes of that meeting it is accepted that the majority of matters raised in the earlier consultation response from FDC had been addressed, and this continues to be the case in respect of the current scheme.

Whilst Officers still have some concern regarding the loss of tourism facilities within the district the case put forward as part of this submission is accepted, as is the argument that the HMO, as a consequence of the management regime to be followed, will not be detrimental to the operation of the existing Elme Hall Hotel. Accordingly, Fenland District Council raise no objection to the scheme.

#### **REPRESENTATIONS**

NONE received.

#### LDF CORE STRATEGY POLICIES

**CS06** - Development in Rural Areas

**CS08 -** Sustainable Development

CS10 - The Economy

**CS11** - Transport

### SITE ALLOCATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES PLAN 2016

**DM2** – Development Boundaries

**DM4 -** Houses in Multiple Occupation

**DM15** – Environment, Design and Amenity

**DM17 - Parking Provision in New Development** 

### **NATIONAL GUIDANCE**

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)

National Design Guide 2019

### **PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

The issues for consideration of this application include-

- Principle of Development
- Impact on Tourism
- Highways / Access
- Form and Character
- Neighbour Amenity
- Residential Amenity and Site Management
- Loss of Employment Use
- Other Material Considerations

### **Principle of Development**

The application site is within the development boundary for Emneth as detailed on Inset Map G34 of the SADMPP. While the village of Emneth is designated a Key Rural Service Centre, the site itself does also about the built extent of the town of Wisbech. The application seeks a change of use of an existing building from hotel use to a House in Multiple Occupation (HMO) and therefore, the physical building and parking area are existing. Policy DM2 states that development will be permitted within the development boundaries providing this is in accordance with the other policies of the Local Plan. Broadly speaking the principle of development is acceptable.

The change of use of the site does mean the loss of 34 hotel rooms, which is classed as an employment use, to a residential use. Therefore, consideration should also be given to Policy CS10 of the Core Strategy which seeks to-

"...retain land or premises currently or last used for employment purposes unless it can be demonstrated that:

- continued use of the site for employment purposes is no longer viable, taking into account the site's characteristics, quality of buildings, and existing or potential market demand; or.....
- an alternative use or mix of uses offers greater potential benefits to the community in meeting local business and employment needs, or in delivering the Council's regeneration agenda.'

The applicant has previously supplied marketing information for the application site. This stated that the hotel has been marketed for sale as a hotel since March 2020. The reason for

sale is primarily due to a change in personal circumstances of the owner. In addition, the Covid pandemic has severely impacted the business and net turnover has decreased from £680,000 (year end April 2019) to £526,000 (year end April 202) to £135,000 (year end 2021).

The site has been marketed to a broad range of buyers; including those who acquire hotels for alternative employment uses such as care homes. However there has been no serious interest forthcoming on this basis. Colliers (the agent) has received multiple offers from a broad range of buyers. The highest offers (by some margin) have been submitted from those seeking to redevelop the property for an alternative use such as HMO (as per the current applicant). Bids which retain the hotel use have been much lower reflecting the market conditions, the costs, risk, and time of 'rebooting' the business and concerns about increased competition given that planning permission has been granted for a new, nearby budget hotel. The marketing information stated that the owner wishes to optimise the sale price and sell before having to close the business and leave Elme Hall as an empty, unused building.

The applicant therefore states that the current use, with the current number of bedrooms is not viable. The intention of the applicant is to retain the main building as a hotel and convert the lodge building to an HMO. This change of use will then enable the applicant to then invest back into the hotel. Therefore, while there is a reduction in the amount of employment land, the planning application would not result in the complete loss of the employment use.

The applicant has also provided information that the business currently operates with skeleton staff only (four members of staff, one of which is the owner and two are part time). Elme Hall is currently operated as a B&B only following the impact of the pandemic.

It is proposed that the HMO will require the employment of staff for the cleaning, caretaking and management of the building, likely to be one full time caretaker and 2 part time cleaning roles. This is in addition to the staff required for the operation of the hotel building next door, once this is fully open again as the applicant intends.

Policy DM4 of the SADMPP (2016) states that the conversion of existing dwellings/ new development for HMOs may be permitted where:

- there is no adverse impact on the amenity of existing and new residents and the historic and natural environment; and
- the development and associated facilities can be provided without significant detriment to the occupiers of adjoining or neighbouring properties; and
- the site is within reasonable distances to facilities, public open space, supporting services and local employment.

Neighbour and residential amenity is discussed below, as is the impact of the development on the form and character of the locality. The applicant has shown on the submitted plans that the development and associated facilities can be sufficiently provided for within the site boundaries. The site is adjacent to Wisbech with a range of facilities, services and employment provision.

Therefore, the applicant has sought to provide evidence that the hotel in its current form is not viable, and states that by changing the use of one of these buildings to an HMO this would create minimal employment, but would also seek to support the reopening of the main hotel building to protect this employment use. Furthermore, based on the information submitted the application does meet the policy requirements for HMOs. On balance it is considered that the scheme is in accordance with Policy CS10 of the CS (2011) and is fully in accordance with Policy DM4 of the SADMPP (2016).

# **Impact on Tourism**

This application is the same as previously submitted and refused at Planning Committee in May 2022 (ref: 21/01569/F) for the following reason-

The proposed development would result in the loss of existing tourist accommodation, and it has failed to adequately demonstrate the proposal would not have an adverse impact on the tourism industry in the locality, contrary to the relevant provisions of the NPPF and policy CS10 of the Core Strategy.

However, the applicant has sought to address the reasons for refusal as part of this application namely the potential impact on tourism as a result of the loss of the hotel facilities.

The applicant argues that tourism in West Norfolk is declining, and within Wisbech even further. Comparing 2021 visitor figures with pre-pandemic levels there is a 25% reduction in overnight trips and a 29% reduction in overnight trip value. These figures are lower than those anticipated in CS10 of the CS.

The applicant states that the main building of Elme Hall Hotel has not been open to the public for a period of time, and the newer building is not considered an attractive place to stay. Figures have been provided from the hotel showing 15% and 21% fall in profit over the three years pre-covid. Post Covid consumer spending on the hospitality sector is 70% of the pre-pandemic levels. Therefore, there is not the demand for hotel rooms, and the hotel in its current form is not viable.

Reference has been made to discussions during the Planning Committee meeting in May about accommodation for contractors working in the locality. The applicant states that the building could still be used by contractors on a short term tenancy.

Searches have been conducted for alternative accommodation within the locality. There are 5 established hotels within 5 miles of the site, and within a wider area there are 18 hotels/pubs/ accommodation available (via visitcambridgeshire website). Holiday accommodation has diversified with hotel closures, and alternative forms of accommodation such as glamping, house rentals etc.

The applicant points out in their statement that consent has recently been granted for the change of use of a hotel in Downham Market (The Castle Hotel) with little, if any, regard given to the loss of tourism. Furthermore, the Premier Inn at West Lynn has expended considerably with no regard to the impact of this on existing local accommodation.

The applicant concludes that there has been a clear decline in tourism within West Norfolk, which has resulted in the hotel (in its current form) being unviable. Should the application be approved there would remain a diverse range of accommodation for tourist visitors to West Norfolk. On the basis of the above, the applicant has provided additional information to demonstrate that there would be limited impact on tourism and as a result the proposal would comply with the NPPF and Policy CS10 of the Local Plan.

### **Highways / Access**

Concerns were raised by a neighbouring resident and the Parish Council that the scheme would generate additional traffic which would exacerbate existing congestion on the Elm High Road and roundabout, resulting in highway safety issues. The application seeks to continue to utilise the existing access to the site, via the Elm High Road. The 34 hotel bedrooms are proposed to be replaced by 26 residential bedrooms and the Local Highway

Authority has no objections to this proposal, given the building already has as existing use as accommodation. The proposed parking area will remain as is, a gravel car parking area and the scheme will also provide a secure cycle storage building for residents for 32 cycles. Access to the hotel is via the existing vehicular route to the north of the HMO building. The proposed development is in accordance with the NPPF, Policy CS11 of the CS (2011) and Policy DM15 of the SADMPP (2016).

### Form and Character

There will be minimal changes to the application site as a result of the development proposed. The physical appearance of the building will remain unchanged, as will the parking area, and the existing boundary treatments are to be retained. The scheme does include an area of amenity space for residents which is to be located to the south of the building and this will enhance the appearance of the site from the public highway.

The 280 square metres of managed garden space will include benches, picnic tables and a timber gazebo. Planting and ground finishes will also be provided to improve the outside spaces. The landscaping details proposed will be conditioned accordingly, alongside a condition requiring further information to be submitted and agreed to confirm the planting scheme. In addition, six of the ground floor rooms facing onto the north elevation will include a small area of private amenity space which will also provide some privacy from passing hotel guests using the adjacent access. It is proposed on the plans that a 900mm wall is constructed along the northern elevation of the building to demarcate the private space, and this walling is proposed to then follow round along much of the eastern boundary. Notwithstanding the details shown on the submitted plans details of the wall should be submitted and agreed by the LPA to ensure the appearance and suitability of this is acceptable for residential amenity.

The proposal is in accordance with Policy CS08 of the CS (2011) and DM15 of the SADMPP (2016).

### **Neighbour Amenity**

The development is neighboured by an existing retail development and car park to the north, the associated hotel to the east, and then the A47 to the south and Elm High Road to the west. There are no immediate residential neighbours, the closest being the dwellings approximately 35m away on the other side of Elm High Road, and it is not considered the change of use would have a detrimental impact on the neighbour amenity of these residents.

### **Residential Amenity and Site Management**

Concerns are raised by Emneth Parish Council to the proposal on the basis that the scheme would be an unacceptable use.

The building is already used for holiday accommodation, and it is not considered that the change of use to an HMO is incompatible with the application site itself or in the wider context of the neighbouring hotel. The applicant has stated that it is their intention to run these two uses alongside each other.

In terms of the management of the site, the applicant has confirmed the property will be closely managed by a local ARLA qualified property Manager, and a local company will be used for regular cleaning and grounds maintenance. CCTV will be installed to all communal areas. The waste will be stored in large wheeled bins (1100L) for general waste and recyclable waste and will be stored within a specific timber fenced area (identified on the plan). The applicant also makes the point that the appearance and management of the HMO

site would directly impact upon the success of the neighbouring hotel, and therefore they would maintain a quality environment.

As a large HMO, the applicant/ owner of the site will be required to secure an HMO licence from the Borough Council prior to the occupation of the building. As part of the licence application the Council considers whether the owner/ manager is fit and proper to manage the accommodation and requires management details, waste management, safety certificates (which are monitored) etc. The licence is then granted for a fixed period of time, and then the accommodation is monitored, likely on an annual basis. The Council has powers to take enforcement action if the accommodation is not maintained/ managed to an appropriate standard. Housing Standards has considered the accommodation proposed, alongside the proposed occupancy and these are considered acceptable.

In terms of the amenity of the proposed residents; a Morrisons store is located to the north of the application site, and the company has previously raised concerns about the change of use to residential accommodation given the fact that the neighbouring business does not have any restrictions on trading/ delivery times. Reference is made to paragraph 187 of the NPPF which states that 'existing businesses should not have unreasonable restrictions placed upon them as a result of development permitted after they were established. Where the operation of an existing business could have a significant adverse effect on new development (including change of use) in its vicinity, the applicant should be required to provide suitable mitigation before the development is completed.' Although CSNN were consulted, no response has been received. However, based on previous comments, a Noise Assessment is required to be submitted to and agreed by the LPA. Also, that any mitigation measures recommended as part of the Noise Assessment are implemented/constructed prior to occupation of the HMO.

Previously CSNN also queried the foul drainage arrangements on the site, but the agent confirmed that the foul drainage would continue to be discharged to the main foul sewer, as is currently the case. This is acceptable.

The development is considered acceptable in terms of residential amenity in line with policies CS08 of the CS (2011) and DM15 of the SADMPP (2016).

#### **Other Material Considerations**

Secured by Design – The comments received from Norfolk Constabulary have been addressed where possible with the secure cycle storage. The door entry systems and mail arrangements are not for consideration as part of this application.

No objections have been received from the Lead Local Flood Authority (NCC), or the Environmental Quality officer. The Emergency Planning Officer has recommended an Informative is added.

#### CONCLUSION

The application seeks consent for the change of use of an existing hotel to a large HMO, which meets the policy requirements of Policy DM4 of the SADMPP. The applicant has clarified that the adjacent hotel building to the east of the application site would be retained in hotel use and remain in the same ownership as the HMO.

Concerns raised include that the proposed development is unacceptable and incompatible, with queries regarding the likely success of the development, as well as the ongoing management of the site. The applicant has provided information regarding the proposed

management and maintenance of the application site. This is alongside the fact that the development would require an HMO licence and the site would be monitored accordingly by the Borough Council.

The Parish Council raises concerns from a highway safety point of view, given the proposed access, the potential increased traffic and the proximity to the major roundabout. However, the Local Highway Authority do not object to the scheme subject to a condition re the parking and servicing areas.

Wisbech Town Council and Fenland District Council do not object to the proposal. The applicant has considered the potential impact of the loss of the hotel rooms on the impact of tourism locally. Figures are provided to show the decline in tourism in West Norfolk, alongside information detailing the range of alternative accommodation within the locality. Therefore, making the case that the tourism sector is in decline which has resulted in the hotel (in its current form) being unviable. The applicant has also supplied information showing recent turnover of the existing business, and the marketing history of the site, to illustrate the viability of the retaining the site as a whole for hotel accommodation. While the proposal would mean the loss of 34 hotel bedrooms, the applicant has stated the development would support the retention of some hotel accommodation in the adjacent building, and this would be a more attractive accommodation offer. While the loss of the hotel rooms would equate to a loss of employment land, there are only small numbers of staff currently employed and the HMO will also require a small number of staff. In addition, the scheme will help to financially support the re-opening of the hotel building adjacent which would also generate additional employment (and would be retained as an employment use).

There will be minimal changes to the physical appearance of the site aside from the area of open space which will enhance the site, and the entrance to the town.

In summary the development is in accordance with the NPPF, Policies CS08, CS10 and CS11 (of the CS 2011), and Policies DM4, DM15 and DM17 (of the SADMPP 2016) and as such the officer recommendation is that of approval.

#### RECOMMENDATION

**APPROVE** subject to the imposition of the following condition(s):

- 1 <u>Condition:</u> The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
- 1 Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990, as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004.
- 2 <u>Condition</u>: The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans (Drawing Nos- 224-01A Existing Floor Plans, Site Plan and Location Plan, 224-02C Proposed Floor Plans and 224-03C Existing and Proposed Site Plans).
- 2 Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.
- 3 <u>Condition:</u> Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the on-site cycle parking shall be constructed in accordance with the approved plan and retained thereafter available for that specific use.

- Reason: To ensure the permanent availability of the parking areas, in the interests of satisfactory development and highway safety.
- 4 <u>Condition:</u> Prior to the first use or occupation of the development hereby approved, full details of the soft landscape works including planting plans, written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with plant and grass establishment) schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers and densities where appropriate shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
- 4 <u>Reason:</u> To ensure that the development is properly landscaped in the interests of the visual amenities of the locality in accordance with the NPPF.
- Condition: All hard and soft landscape works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The works shall be carried out prior to the occupation or use of any part of the development or in accordance with a programme to be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. Any trees or plants that within a period of 5 years from the completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased, shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of similar size and species as those originally planted, unless the Local Planning Authority gives written approval to any variation.
- 5 <u>Reason:</u> To ensure that the work is carried out within a reasonable period in accordance with the NPPF.
- 6 <u>Condition:</u> Notwithstanding the details shown on the approved plan, prior to occupation of the building, full details of the boundary wall proposed along the north elevation of the building and the eastern boundary of the site, shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The boundary treatment shall be completed before the occupation of the building or in accordance with a timetable to be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and retained in perpetuity.
- 6 <u>Reason:</u> To ensure that the development is compatible with the amenities of the locality in accordance with the NPPF.
- 7 <u>Condition:</u> Prior to the occupation of the building a scheme to protect the development from noise associated with the trading estate to the north shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved before the development is brought into use and retained in perpetuity.
- 7 <u>Reason:</u> To ensure that the amenities of future occupants are safeguarded in accordance with the NPPF.